## Delegated Decision Making Form (Property Delegations 232 - 251 only - Executive Officer Decisions)

**REF NO** 

Constitutional Services Use Only

**Decision Type** 

Officer

**Department** 

**Development** 

Subject

Disposal of HRA car park off Loscoe Road

**Decision** 

Subject to call-in: Yes

If NOT subject to call-in

Reason and who consulted: N/A

Total value of decision: £76.500

Revenue or Capital: Capital

**Decision taken** 

To dispose of the freehold site to RCS Filling Limited (Registered Company Number – 04336217) in order for them to provide car parking facilities as part of their serviced offices at a value of £75,000 with a £1,500 contribution to professional fees.

Exempt Decision
Reason for exemption
(including public interest reason)

No

N/A

The option to keep the site has been disregarded as this would mean that the liability for the car park would remain with the Council; and the on-going maintenance and cost involved with this would be with the Council, therefore this option was disregarded as it would mean there would be a continued cost involved with owning the site and no income.

Other options considered (with reasons for rejecting options not favoured)

The option of gaining planning permission and developing the site was rejected as it was considered it would not be profitable or beneficial to the Council to develop the site due to the viabilities involved.

The option of disposing of the site with the benefit of planning permission was rejected and it was felt that the increase in value compared to that of disposing the site as a car park was not of a high enough value, therefore this option was rejected. The terms of sale will include clauses to ensure that the Council benefits from any uplift in value should planning permission for an alternative use be granted, this has been carried out through an overage provision.

The site has been deemed surplus to requirements by the HRA department, not providing any income to the Council and has on-going maintenance issues due to the site being used for fly tipping. Therefore HRA have expressed support for the disposal of the site.

**Reason for Decision** 

The disposal of the site will provide a capital receipt for the Housing Revenue Account which can be used to facilitate works elsewhere within the Estate.

**Affected Wards** 

Sherwood

Advice sought		4	Yes	· No			
•	Legal						
	Finance			×			
	Human Resources			· X			
	Equality & Community Relat	ione Te	am 🖂	×			
	Equality & Confindinty Neigh	ootor		×			
	Voluntary and Community S	ector		X			
	Grants Team		닏				
	Procurement						
	Other (please specify)			<b>X</b>			
			٧.,				
	The second secon	Yes	No	Name and Date			
Details of consultations	Portfolio Holder		X				
	Ward Councillors	×		Clir Alex Ball, Clir Brian Parbutt,			
undertaken	Ward Councillors		ب	Cilr Jane Urguhart, 01/09/2016			
es.	Area Committee		×	Om dano organisti, o nosizara			
	,		×	•			
	Other Council Bodies						
	Corp. Directors Affected		X				
	Trades Unions		$\boxtimes$				
	Minority Group		X				
	Others (Housing Revenue)	X		Leon McLean ,17/03/2016			
	Reasons for not consulting						
	There has been no express consultation about this decision, but regular meetings						
	take place with the Portfolio Holder and Director of Strategic Asset and Property Management on general property matters, which may include this matter. The						
·							
	Decision relates to a day to day business matter where further consultation is not						
	deemed appropriate.						
Consultation outcomes	All consulted, who respond	led, wer	e happy v	with the proposed disposal.			
	The Council was approx	ched h	v RCS	Filling Limited (Registered			
	Oceanory Number 04226	2017\ ra	garding t	he nurchase of the car nark			
Background to the	Company Number – 04336217) regarding the purchase of the car park						
decision	for them to provide parking to their customers. After considering the						
decision	options detailed above it was decided that a disposal should be						
	progressed						
				<u></u>			
	Ness						
Declared interests	None			<u> Projection in the second contract of the se</u>			
Dispensation by			Dispensat	ion			
Standards Committee	Date: N/A		Reference				
Standards Committee							
	The the equality impact of	the dee	icion boo	n assessed?			
	Has the equality impact of	the dec	ISIOIT DEC	11 assessed :			
Equalities				157			
Lquanties	NO – Not required						
	YES – equality impact assessment attached						
Social Value	- 0 11/-1	land the second	tiana that	relate to this Decision			
implications	There are no Social Value Implications that relate to this Decision.  There are no Crime and Disorder Implications that relate to this						
Crime and Disorder							
implications	Decision		<u> </u>				
Background Papers	There are no Background Papers which this Decision relies upon.						
Dackyrounu Fapers							
	There are no Published Documents that have been relied upon to						
Published documents	make this Decision.						

Uniform / Property Ref (if applicable)	006198A		
Contact Person	Jeremy Bryce - Estates Surveyor jeremy.bryce@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	Contact No.	Ext:63082
Scheme of Delegation Reference Number	244		
Development Manager	Rod Martin		
Signature	P. P. Skyee	Date:	27/9/2016
Director Strategic Assets & Property	Kevin Shutter	Dato	2-1-14
Signature	Kishorto	Date.	27/9/16





